# DECLARATION OF ETHICS AND GOOD PRACTICES

*Areté*, Digital Journal of the Doctorate in Education of the Central University of Venezuela seeks to maintain high standards of ethics in the publication of all its numbers, so all parties involved in the process of editing Areté must know and abide by the principles of this Statement of Ethics and Good Practices.

#### THE EDITOR AND EDITORIAL COMMITTEE UNDERTAKE TO:

- 1. Publish updated guidelines on the responsibilities of the authors and the characteristics of the works that can be sent to Areté, as well as the evaluation system used to select the manuscripts and the criteria that the evaluators should apply.
- 2. Do not disclose any information about the manuscripts sent to Areté for evaluation and possible publication.
- 3. Ensure the confidentiality of the manuscript's evaluation process through a double-blinded system. In addition to the anonymity of the evaluators and the authors, only the Editorial Committee will know the manuscript being evaluated, the evaluations produced by the evaluating peers, as well as any other communication or consideration made about the manuscript.
- 4. Select, under the advice of the Scientific Committee, expert or qualified evaluators to review the received manuscript for possible publication, considering its academic merits and linkage with the subject of the manuscript.
- 5. Maintain confidentiality regarding possible clarifications, claims or complaints that an author wishes to send to the Editor, the Areté 's Editorial Board or the manuscript evaluators.
- 6. Publish or reject received manuscripts regardless of race, sex, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, citizenship, ethnicity, nationality or political orientation of the authors.
- 7. Publish or reject manuscripts sent for consideration to Areté based exclusively on their academic merits regardless of any kind of friendship or commercial influence.
- 8. Fight plagiarism and other bad practices. If a manuscript of which plagiarism is suspected is identified, the authors will be notified immediately and will not be published until the situation is clarified. If plagiarism is discovered after the article has been published, the work will be immediately removed from Areté and will offer the necessary clarifications. Areté will use the anti-plagiarism tools it has at its disposal.
- 9. Publish the corrections, clarifications and apologies necessary in case it considers it convenient.
- 10. Do not use the manuscripts that are in the evaluation process for the Editor's or the Areté's Editorial Board's own investigations without the consent of their authors.
- 11. Declare any possible conflict of interests that may have an improper influence at any time during the evaluation process or publication of the manuscript.

### THE AUTHORS COMMIT TO:

- 1. Ensure that the manuscript is original, that it has not been sent to another journal at the same time, and that they do not infringe the copyrights or property rights of third parties. Therefore, you must send Areté a communication signed by all the authors stating the originality of the manuscript and certifying that the information contained in it is the result of the work and / or ideas of the authors.
- 2. Recognize when part of the content of the manuscript that you want to publish in Areté has already been published in another broadcast medium: In this case you must indicate it in your own manuscript, as well as cite the academic sources and credits that are necessary.
- 3. Be actively involved in the peer evaluation process, therefore they must timely provide truthful information requested by the Editor or the Areté's Editorial Committee.
- 4. Make changes or correct errors indicated after peer evaluation. In case of not agreeing with any requested modification, the authors can argue their disagreement by written communication addressed to Areté's Editorial Committee.
- 5. Immediately notify the Editor or the Areté's Editorial Board if the article was published with any type of error, regardless of its nature, so that it can be corrected in a timely manner.
- 6. Declare any possible conflict of interests that may have an improper influence at any time during the evaluation process or publication of the manuscript.

## THE EVALUATING PEERS COMMIT TO:

- 1. Treat confidentially the information sent by the Editor or the Areté's Editorial Board.
- 2. Notify the Editor or the Areté's Editorial Board if you are qualified to review the manuscript you are asked to evaluate, this must be done after reading the summary and before the complete manuscript is sent.
- 3. Communicate to the Editor or the Editorial Board any conflict of interests related to the authors, the work or their funding sources that may compromise their decision towards the acceptance or rejection of the manuscript that has been requested to evaluate. Preferably, this must be done before the Editorial Committee sends you the full text of the manuscript.
- 4. Perform an objective, fair, informed, critical, constructive and impartial evaluation of the manuscript.
- 5. Present your opinions on the manuscript in a respectful, constructive tone, free of personal opinions or value judgments. The insights that help improve the manuscript regardless of the decision to reject it or not are of great value.
- 6. Show authors relevant references that have not been included in their manuscript.
- 7. Prevent the Editor or the Editorial Committee about a possible double publication of the manuscript that has been sent and that has not been warned by Areté.

### **EDITORIAL ETHICS**

- 1. The Editorial Team, the Editorial Committee and the Scientific Committee must abide by and ensure faithful compliance with the principles contained in this Statement of Ethics and Good Practices. Likewise, they all assume the commitment to warn the other members when there is a deviation from the principles indicated here.
- 2. The Editor and the Editorial Committee undertake to maintain the confidentiality of all assessments and considerations issued on rejected manuscripts, maintaining the integrity of the academic record.
- 3. If any member of the Editorial Team, the Editorial Committee or the Scientific Committee considers that in a particular case their performance may be compromised by a conflict of personal, professional, union or economic interests, they must refrain from participating in the entire process relative to that case.